

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/600,233	0	6/20/2003	James A. Haberstroh	14185 (6365/89768)	9803
7590 06/15/2004				EXAMINER	
Mitchell J. Weinstein				GERRITY, STEPHEN FRANCIS	
Welsh & Katz,	Ltd.				
22nd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
120 S. Riversid	e Drive		3721		
Chicago, IL 6	0606			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	\triangleleft				
- ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/600,233	HABERSTROH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen F. Gerrity	3721				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_· action is non-final.					
2a)∐ 3)□	,—		esecution as to the merits is				
ا_(د	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1,2,5-12 and 15-18 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3,4,13 and 14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.					
10)⊠	\boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 June 2003</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.
- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 2. CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference character(s) mentioned in the description: "10" (see strapping machine 10 on page 4, paragraph 23); "36" (see hub 36 on page 4, paragraph 25); and "40" (see top edge 40 on page 4, paragraph 25). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: "28" as seen in figures 1 and 3. Corrected drawing sheets, or amendment to the reference character(s) specification to add the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled being amended. "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "biasing element" (claims 3 and 13) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of

the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description the drawings for consistency. several views of of Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

5. The drawings are objected to because the use of photographs as figures 4 and 5 is deemed unnecessary, and the dark quality of the photographs makes it questionable as to their eventual reproduction quality in a patent. Applicant is respectfully requested to resubmit figures 4 and 5 in the form of black ink

Application/Control Number: 10/600,233

Art Unit: 3721

patent-quality illustrations. Corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first 7. paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 3 and 13 each recite "including a biasing element for biasing the latching element to a latched position". The written description fails to describe in detail the structure of the biasing element and its mechanical relationship to the latching element, support panel and/or frame. The exact manner of the biasing elements operation has not been set forth in the written description in order for one of ordinary skill in the art to

make and use the claimed invention set forth in claims 3 and 13.

Correction is requested, but applicant is reminded that new matter may not be introduced.

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 9. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 10. Claims 4 and 14 each recite the limitation "the door" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested that "the door" be changed to --the support panel--.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 1, 2, 5-12 and 15-18 are allowed.
- 12. Claims 4 and 14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references

Application/Control Number: 10/600,233

Art Unit: 3721

listed on the attached form (PTO-892) are cited to show strapping machines and their dispensers. All are cited as being of interest and to show the state of the prior art.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Stephen**F. Gerrity whose telephone number is (703) 308-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2187, may be contacted.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Stephen F. Gerrity

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721

14 June 2004